2015 FMDHS EXCELLENCE IN TEACHING AWARDS

Adjudication Process

JUDGING PANEL MEMBERSHIP

A panel of educators and students from within the Faculty will evaluate submissions and select recipients for each of the nominated award categories. The panel has been chosen to ensure objectivity and transparency in the selection process and comprises the following members:

- Associate Dean (Teaching and Learning)
- Non-Faculty teacher/staff mentor based on campus
- Hospital based clinician
- A postgraduate research coordinator from one of the Faculty Schools
- Professor in Medical Education

Student representatives from the following disciplines:

- Dentistry
- Medicine
- Nursing Science
- Pharmacy
- Podiatric Medicine
- Social Work

Head of School (or senior member of academic staff) from the following disciplines:

- Dentistry
- Medicine
- Nursing Science
- Pharmacy
- Podiatric Medicine
- Social Work

*NB: Please note that membership can sometimes vary as a result of staff/student availability.

JUDGING CRITERIA

The judging panel will assess applications according to the quality and strength of the case for excellence made against each of the selection criteria. In so doing, members will take into account the following:
I. **Awards for Teaching Excellence**

- The extent to which the claims for excellence are supported by formal and informal evaluation
- The extent of creativity, imagination or innovation, irrespective of whether the approach involves traditional learning environments or technology-based developments
- The information contained in student questionnaires, references and selected teaching materials submitted by the nominee

II. **Award for Outstanding Contributions to Student Learning**

- Influenced student learning, student engagement or the overall student experience
- Gained recognition from fellow staff, the faculty/university, and/or the broader community
- Sustained contribution over time

III. **Awards for Programs that Enhance Student Learning**

- Demonstrated evidence of the effectiveness of the program in formal and informal evaluation
- The degree of creativity, imagination or innovation
- Evidence of the sustained effectiveness of the program.

**JUDGING PROCESS**

- Nomination materials and score sheets will be provided electronically to the Judging Panel
- Panel members will rate each applicant by giving a score out of ten for each criteria addressed. Scores will then be averaged to determine rankings
- A meeting to discuss members’ selections will be held and a recipient for each award will be selected

**JUDGING POLICIES**

- In assessing nominee submissions and in consultation with nominees, the judging panel may move nominations across the categories and assess candidates under a category other than which they were initially nominated. This also applies to selected candidates for the University and OLT Awards
- The panel may select one recipient only per award category
• Where the panel deems justifiable, up to two commendations may be awarded for each award category

• Where there is a conflict of interest in instances where members of the judging panel are nominated for an award, members will be excused from all deliberations relating to their category

• Members of the judging panel are not to take into account their personal knowledge of the nominees’ achievements when assessing submissions

• Appeals will only be considered where there is a fault in the application process. Any appeals in relation to the merits of applications relative to the criteria will not be considered

• The Dean will have final approval for all selections made by the Committee